

राजस्व विभाग

28 जुलाई, 1983

सं० 3357-आर-II-83/24365.—पंजाब अग्रक्रय अधिनियम, 1913, की धारा 8 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का और इस निमित्त उन्हें समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल इसके द्वारा घोषित करते हैं कि हरियाणा सरकार स्थानीय शासन विभाग अधिसूचना सं० 1964-आई-सी-आई/74/5856, दिनांक 21 फरवरी, 1974 द्वारा अधिसूचना अम्बाला शहर नगरपालिका की सीमा में शामिल भूमि को किए गए विक्रयों के बारे में अग्रक्रय का कोई अधिकार नहीं होगा।

एल. सी. गुप्ता,

वित्तायुक्त एवं सचिव, हरियाणा सरकार,
राजस्व विभाग।

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

The 28th July, 1983

No. 3357-R-II-83/24365.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Punjab Pre-emption Act, 1913, and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana, hereby declares that no right of Pre-emption shall exist in respect of the sales made of the land included in the boundary of Ambala City Municipal Committee as notified by Haryana Government, Local Department, notification No. 1964-ICI-74/5856, dated 21st February, 1974,

L. C. GUPTA,

Financial Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Revenue Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Orders

The 19th July, 1983

No. ID/YMN/318-83/33537.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Babu Ram and the management of M/s Hakam Metal Industries Tejli Gate Jagadhri regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Babu Ram, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/65-83/33543.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagdish and the management of M/s Raj Metals Delhi Road, Rewari regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with

notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagdish was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/83-83/33550.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Niwas and the management of M/s J.B. Paper Mills (P). Ltd. Plot No. 7, Sec-VI Industrial Complex Dharuhera, district Mohindergarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted *vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Niwas was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 21st July, 1983

No. ID/FD/90-83/33995.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Asrey and the management of M/s Trydent Axles Pvt. Ltd., Plot 13/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3LAB-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Asarey was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/216-82/34001.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri B. S. Sethi and the management of M/s. Zonal Manager Sahera Deposits and Investment (India) 1016/Sector-22 B, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri B. S. Sethi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/71-83/34007.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Des Raj and the management of M/s Mahalashmi Ispat Pvt. Ltd., Daultbad Road, Gujgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-GLab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Des Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.